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## Foreword


#### Abstract

This is the first in what is intended to be a biennial survey of private sector support for the arts. It is intended to provide baseline data in this first year, from which trends can be measured over time. Each addition will provide a reference point for arts organisations to see what is achievable and the return on investment they can expect when they invest time and resources in fundraising activities.


The results demonstrate that support from the private sector - including donations, grants, sponsorship and volunteering - is increasing and is a significant contributor to the arts sector, alongside government support and earned income.

The results also show that there is a wide range of fundraising experiences for arts organisations, in both actual results and in their perception of their ability to fundraise.

The biggest challenge for arts organisations wanting to obtain private sector support is their capacity to employ skilled fundraising staff. This is both because organisations are sometimes reluctant or unable to direct resources towards employing fundraising staff, and because there are not enough skilled fundraisers wanting to work in the arts. Competition for effective fundraisers in the broader not-for-profit sector is high and arts organisations who want skilled fundraisers need to take this into account when they consider the resources and internal support they give their fundraising staff.

These results should also be taken into account by policy makers at all three levels of government, both in terms of what they can currently expect from arts organisations they co-fund, and what they can do to help build the fundraising capacity of the arts sector.

Above all, this study shows that there are benefits to private sector support over and above the funds and volunteer hours contributed, as partnering with the private sector through philanthropy, sponsorship and volunteering embeds arts organisations in their communities and is a resounding confirmation of the value they provide to the communities they serve.


Fiona Menzies
Chief Executive Officer
Creative Partnerships Australia

## Executive Summary

This study shows that in 2017, the total value of private sector support for arts and culture was $\$ 608$ million. This includes philanthropic donations and grants, cash and in-kind business sponsorship, and the value of volunteering. The last time a comprehensive sector-wide survey was conducted was in 2009-10 and valued private sector support for the arts at $\$ 221$ million, although as a different methodology was used, the results are not directly comparable. This steady increase is also demonstrated in other research, such as the Australian Major Performing Arts Group's annual private sector survey.

Arts and cultural organisations earned most of their income through box office and sales (40\%), while support from government (27\%) and the private sector (25\%) were equally important. Organisations rated government and private support as equally important to their viability. However, they reported being better equipped and more successful at raising funds from government than private sources. Overall knowledge of raising funds from the private sector was low (4.8 out of ten) which suggests that more help may be needed to build the capacity of organisations to fundraise from the private sector.

The size of an organisation has the most influence on private support in terms of fundraising skills, knowledge, resources, and the results achieved. Large organisations spend more on fundraising, raise more funds, and achieve higher returns than smaller organisations. Nearly nine in ten extra-large organisations employ dedicated fundraising staff (85\%).

This study found that almost half of private income to arts and culture came from donations (45\%) and over a third came from sponsorship (37\%).

It shows that a personal approach is the most effective fundraising strategy, both in terms of fundraising activities and the fundraising style of the organisation. The main indicator of success was building long term relationships with donors, and nearly half of organisations (45\%) had done this. Making a direct approach to individuals for a donation is the most effective fundraising activity and eight in ten organisations (79\%) had done this.

It is well established that board engagement is important to fundraising and one of the surprises from this study was that two thirds of organisations reported that their board was already engaged. While few organisations spontaneously reported a lack of support from the board as a challenge, one in ten proposed increased board involvement as one way they could become more successful in raising private support in future.

Fundraising is a people business - nearly half of fundraising costs are spent on salaries - however, just one quarter of arts and cultural organisations employ dedicated fundraising staff. The single biggest challenge that arts organisations identified was the lack of people, skills and expertise to raise funds (30\%). Having dedicated staff with fundraising expertise is clearly an important driver of fundraising success.

The arts and cultural sector is mainly comprised of micro organisations with a turnover of under \$50,000 (45\%) that depend upon volunteer and pro bono support (75\%). Just one in ten micro organisations have dedicated fundraising staff, so those organisations who do not have a dedicated fundraiser rely on staff with other responsibilities and/or volunteers to fundraise. However, not everyone has the skills or sees this as part of their role. The study found that the attitudes of a company towards fundraising are important - and those who are comfortable asking for support from the private sector are more successful at fundraising.

The study estimated that the return on investment (ROI) for arts and cultural fundraising was $\$ 3.50$ raised for every dollar spent, which is below the benchmark measure of $\$ 5$ for fundraising in Australia ${ }^{1}$. The overall trend in fundraising is for reduced ROI, since while the amount of funds raised has grown the cost of fundraising has grown faster ${ }^{2}$.

The importance of non-cash support to the arts and cultural sector becomes evident when the value of volunteer and pro bono time is calculated. Cash (through donations, sponsorship bequests and fundraising) makes up over half of total support (58\%), while the value of volunteer and pro bono support makes up nearly one third, followed by in kind support (13\%). Volunteer and pro bono support is most important to micro, small and non-metro organisations.

When considering the place of the arts and cultural sector in the Australian fundraising landscape, JBWere ranked the arts a little higher than other cause areas in its reliance on donations and higher again for volunteering. It found more funding for the arts was coming from philanthropy - one of the largest increases for all cause areas. The main reason for the increase was the emergence of Private Ancillary Funds (PAFs) which had distributed $13 \%$ of their grants to arts and culture between 2001 and 2013 ${ }^{3}$.

[^0]According to JBWere's The Support Report, the outlook for fundraising in arts and culture is positive, while higher education and medical research are expected to continue to do well, and support for the environment is expected to continue to grow. ${ }^{4}$ Higher education and medical research are like arts and culture in terms of the profile of givers. All three causes depend on support from growing corporate partnerships, High Net Worth Individuals and PAFs. PAFs are forecast to grow from $7 \%$ to $17 \%$ of financial giving support by $2036 .{ }^{5}$ Arts and culture is expected to continue to grow and receive more support from corporate partnerships and High Net Worth Individuals and PAFs. Arts and cultural organisations in this study expected private support to deliver a slightly larger share of their funding in future, and a bigger share than government support in five years' time.

[^1]
# Introduction 

## Purpose of the Research

Giving Attitude is designed to inform policy and advocacy for investment in the arts across Australia. This report introduces a new rigour and depth to the question of what investment is raised, what is behind the successes, and how the challenges to fundraising can be overcome. It gives us a window into how CEOs and fundraisers are thinking, feeling and behaving in relation to raising private support income. It offers arts and cultural organisations a guide to the most successful fundraising strategies, and the ability to see how their fundraising income and costs compare with others. Because this is a new survey it sets a benchmark for the future - and the next report will enable us to track the trends.

## Survey \& Analysis Method

Wallis Consulting Group designed and undertook this research. The sample of 2,208 included all arts and culture organisations as defined by Creative Partnerships Australia. This included those with gross income greater than \$10,000 as reported on the AIS list after lookup by Illion.

In total, 551 survey responses were received between 24 October 2018 and 14 January 2019. Of the 2,208 organisations in the sample, surveys were obtained for 542 of them - this is a $25 \%$ response rate. A further 9 surveys were completed by arts and cultural organisations not in the sample file who became aware of the survey and wished to participate. Close to threequarter of surveys (74\%) were completed online, with the remainder (26\%) completed by filling in the hard copy questionnaire. Organisations were asked to report data from their last financial reporting period - whether that was the 2017-18 financial year or the 2017 calendar year. About half of respondents reported by calendar year and half reported
by financial year.

The survey data was weighted so that it reflected the composition of arts and cultural organisations in the Australian Charities and Not-for-profit Commission (ACNC) 2017 Annual Information Statement (AIS). Analysis showed that organisations with higher turnover were more likely to undertake the survey, and hence these were weighted down. This is shown in the table below.

| Type of organisation | Survey Data |  |  | AIS 2017 data |  | Weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Turnover | Interviews | \% | Number | \% |  |
| Micro | Less than \$50,000 | 92 | 16.70\% | 1091 | 45.31\% | 2.713675 |
| Small | \$50,000-\$249,999 | 143 | 25.95\% | 614 | 25.50\% | 0.98249 |
| Medium | \$250,000-\$999,999 | 147 | 26.68\% | 416 | 17.28\% | 0.647706 |
| Large | \$1M-\$4.999M | 97 | 17.60\% | 204 | 8.47\% | 0.48123 |
| Extra Large | \$5M and over | 72 | 13.07\% | 83 | 3.45\% | 0.26378 |

## AIS Data and Estimate Method

Wallis calculated the estimate of private sector support income and expenses using a combination of data from the survey and ACNC reports. A file was created of the original sample listing and file data from the survey and the 2017 AIS was appended to the file. Where survey participants had given permission for their survey data to be directly linked to their own organisation, elements of their survey data relating to their turnover and private sector funding were directly incorporated into the file. Where survey data was not available, and there was no equivalent AIS data, survey averages were applied in these fields. The averages applied were based on organisation size as defined by the ACNC - that is, micro, small, medium, large and extra-large.

Matched ACNC data was used to estimate the total fundraising revenue, costs and return on investment for Australia and each state/ territory, and organisation size (pp. 23-25). The survey data was used to profile patterns of income and expenses by category and to profile fundraising activities, attitudes and support (pp. 13-22 and pp. 26-45).

## Arts and Cultural Classifications

There are many ways to define arts and cultural organisations. This research was designed based on the International Classification of Non-Profit Organisations (ICNPO 1 100) culture and arts definitions which have the following categories;

- Media and communications: Production and dissemination of information and communication; includes radio and TV stations; publishing of books, journals, newspapers and newsletters; film production; and libraries.
- Visual arts, architecture, ceramic art: Production, dissemination and display of visual arts and architecture; includes sculpture, photographic societies, painting, drawing, design centres and architectural associations.
- Performing arts: Performing arts centres, companies and associations; includes theatre, dance, ballet, opera, orchestras, choirs and music ensembles.
- Historical, literary and humanistic societies: Promotion and appreciation of the humanities, preservation of historical and cultural artefacts and commemoration of historical events; includes historical societies, poetry and literary societies, language associations, reading promotion, war memorials and commemorative funds and associations.
- Museums: General and specialised museums covering art, history, sciences, technology and culture.

The following adjustments were made to the categories during the survey design process;

- Festivals were given a separate category.
- Galleries were combined with Museums rather than being included in the overall visual arts category.

The survey enabled arts organisations to choose multiple categories and prior to the analysis some organisations were manually allocated to fewer categories for clearer analysis.

## Arts and Culture Profile

## Key <br> Characteristics

This section profiles the data after it was weighted. Overall, the professionals and organisations in the sample had the following characteristics;

- Were CEOs or CFOs (71\%)
- Had a turnover of less than \$250,000 (71\%)
- Were not-for-profit (94\%)
- Had operated for longer than ten years (84\%)
- Had a voluntary board (79\%)
- Did not have dedicated fundraising staff (73\%)


## The Type of <br> Organisations

- Role: Nearly three-quarters of respondents were CEOs or CFOs (71\%) and less than one in ten were Development Managers or equivalent (7\%).
- Category: Most identified as Museums or Galleries (33\%) followed by the Performing Arts (26\%), and the Visual Arts (15\%) - most organisations selected multiple categories.
- Organisation Type: The most common types were performance makers (26\%), followed by museums ( $11 \%$ ) and galleries (11\%), performance venues (7\%) and visual arts studios (6\%).
- Not-for-profit: Almost all were not-for-profit (94\%) with a small proportion working for profit (4\%).
- Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander: About one in twenty identified as Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander organisations (6\%).
- State: Most were from NSW (32\%) and Victoria (27\%) reflecting the concentration of the sector in these States. Unfortunately, the small number of responses from ACT, NT, and TAS limits statistical confidence in some results.
- Location: About half were classified as metro (51\%) - a combination of inner metro (41\%) and outer metro (10\%) of the five main Australian capital cities - while the rest were classified as non-metro (49\%).

| Category of organisation (multiple responses) | n | \% | Organisation type (multiple responses) | n | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Museums + Galleries | 311 | 33\% | Performance Maker | 170 | 26\% |
| Performing Arts | 249 | 26\% | Museum | 74 | 11\% |
| Visual Arts | 147 | 15\% | Gallery | 70 | 11\% |
| Festivals | 75 | 8\% | Performance Venue | 47 | 7\% |
| Media + Communications | 70 | 7\% | Visual Arts Studio | 42 | 6\% |
| Societies | 61 | 6\% | Education/Training | 35 | 5\% |
| Other Art Forms | 36 | 4\% | Other | 31 | 5\% |
| Total | 949 | 100\% | Radio | 30 | 5\% |
|  |  |  | Other Arts Organisation | 30 | 5\% |
| Location of organisation | n | \% | Visual Arts Maker | 27 | 4\% |
| Inner Metro | 217 | 41\% | Festival | 27 | 4\% |
| Outer Metro | 50 | 10\% | Cultural/Community Centre | 24 | 4\% |
| Non-Metro | 258 | 49\% | Funding/Scholarship | 22 | 3\% |
| Total | 525 | 100\% | Peak Body | 15 | 2\% |
|  |  |  | Archive/Library | 4 | 1\% |
| State of organisation | n | \% | Writers Centre | 3 | 1\% |
| ACT* | 15 | 3\% | Local Government | 3 | 0\% |
| NSW | 174 | 32\% | Total | 652 | 100\% |
| NT* | 22 | 4\% |  |  |  |
| QLD | 74 | 13\% |  |  |  |
| SA | 42 | 8\% |  |  |  |
| TAS* | 18 | 3\% |  |  |  |
| VIC | 150 | 27\% |  |  |  |
| WA | 55 | 10\% |  |  |  |
| No answer | 1 | 0\% |  |  |  |
| Total | 551 | 100\% |  |  |  |


| Category of organisation detail (multiple responses) | n | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Media + Communications |  |  |
| Radio | 32 | 2\% |
| Publishing | 18 | 1\% |
| Film Production | 4 | 0\% |
| Computing | 1 | 0\% |
| Other Media | 20 | 1\% |
| Visual Arts |  |  |
| Sculpture | 41 | 3\% |
| Photography | 40 | 3\% |
| Painting | 53 | 4\% |
| Drawing | 44 | 3\% |
| Design | 21 | 1\% |
| Architecture | 5 | 0\% |
| Ceramic | 6 | 0\% |
| Textiles | 11 | 1\% |
| Other Visual | 92 | 7\% |
| Performing Arts |  |  |
| Theatre | 79 | 6\% |
| Dance | 52 | 4\% |
| Ballet | 17 | 1\% |
| Opera | 16 | 1\% |
| Orchestra | 34 | 2\% |
| Choir | 49 | 4\% |
| Music Ensemble | 57 | 4\% |
| Music Other | 35 | 3\% |
| Circus | 7 | 1\% |
| Other Performing | 57 | 4\% |


| Category of organisation detail (multiple responses) | n | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Societies |  |  |
| Historical Society | 35 | 3\% |
| Poetry + Literary Society | 9 | 1\% |
| Language Association | 3 | 0\% |
| Reading Promotion | 11 | 1\% |
| War Memorial | 7 | 1\% |
| Commemorative Society | 0 | 0\% |
| Other Societies | 18 | 1\% |
| Museums + Galleries |  |  |
| Art | 223 | 16\% |
| History | 51 | 4\% |
| Sciences | 4 | 0\% |
| Technology | 10 | 1\% |
| Culture | 81 | 6\% |
| Other Museums | 27 | 2\% |
| Festivals |  |  |
| Festivals | 75 | 5\% |
| Other |  |  |
| Other Art Forms | 36 | 3\% |
| Total | 1393 | 100\% |

## About the Organisations

- Size of organisation: Most organisations had a turnover of less than \$50,000 (45\%) while just over one in ten had a turnover of more than $\$ 1$ million. The survey data was weighted by turnover - e.g. 92 responses from the under \$50,000 organisations were weighted up to 250 in the analysis to compensate for their lower response to the survey (see Survey and Analysis Method p.10).
- Years of operation: Most organisations had operated for longer than ten years (84\%), with about one in twenty operating for less than five years (6\%).
- Staff: Two-thirds of organisations had mainly unpaid staff (62\%) while the rest had mainly paid staff (38\%).
- Board: Most had an unpaid board (79\%) while one in five did not have a board (20\%).

| Years in operation | n | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Less than 2 years | 1 | $0 \%$ |
| 2 to 5 years | 33 | $6 \%$ |
| 6 to 10 years | 53 | $10 \%$ |
| Over 10 years | 464 | $84 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |


| Staff structure | n | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Majority are paid staff | 208 | $38 \%$ |
| Majority are unpaid staff | 342 | $62 \%$ |
| Don't know/no answer | 1 | $0 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |


| Board structure | n | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| A paid board | 5 | $1 \%$ |
| An unpaid board | 433 | $79 \%$ |
| No board | 112 | $20 \%$ |
| Don't know/no answer | 1 | $0 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |


| Size of organisation by annual turnover <br> last financial reporting period | n | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Micro - Under \$50,000 | 250 | $45 \%$ |
| Small - \$50,000 to \$249,999 | 140 | $25 \%$ |
| Medium - \$250,000 to \$999,999 | 95 | $17 \%$ |
| Large - \$1 million to less than \$5 <br> million | 47 | $8 \%$ |
| Extra-large - Over \$5 million | 19 | $3 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |



# Income 

## Key Take Outs

This section profiles the income of arts and cultural organisations from the survey;

- Nearly one in two had a turnover of less than \$50,000.
- Most income was earned through box office and sales.
- Organisations reported that government and private support played an equally important role in the funding mix.
- In the next five years, government support was expected to decline slightly while private support was expected to increase slightly.
- Private support was expected to deliver a slightly larger share of funding in future.


## Funding Sources

Organisations in the survey were classified by size according to their turnover - and nearly half of organisations were classified as micro (with a turnover of less than $\$ 50,000$ ) in the most recent reporting period.


## Current Funding Mix

Organisations were asked to report the mix of total funding they received from earned income, government and private support. Most income was earned by organisations through box office and sales (40\%), while government (27\%) and private support (25\%) played an equally important role in the funding mix. Combined, government and private support made up half of all funding received by organisations.


Government (public sector)
Earned Income (box office, ticket sales, item sales, other)
Private Sector Support (cash, in-kind, donations, sponsorships, bequests, fundraising events)

Analysis of the funding mix of different types of organisation;

- Private funding makes up a higher proportion of the total income of micro organisations (30\%) compared to medium (18\%) and large organisations (17\%).
- Government funding makes up a lower proportion of total income of micro organisations (16\%) compared to medium (41\%), large (41\%) and extra-large (47\%) organisations.
- Visual arts organisations received a higher proportion of government funding (35\%) than performing arts organisations (22\%).
- Media \& communications organisations receive a higher proportion of private support (38\%) compared to performing arts (24\%), museums (23\%) and visual arts (19\%).
- Media \& communications organisations received a smaller proportion of earned income (22\%) than performing arts (47\%), societies (45\%), museums (41\%) and festivals (44\%).
- Results suggest that NT may have a higher proportion of government funding (49\%) and a lower proportion of private sector support (9\%) than most other jurisdictions.


## Future Funding Mix

Organisations were asked how they thought their funding mix might change in five years' time. Overall, government support is expected to decline slightly ( -2.3 percentage points) while private support is expected to increase slightly (+2.3 percentage points) as a proportion of total income. The outlook is for private support to deliver a slightly larger share than government in five years' time.

Organisations in all jurisdictions expected some decrease in government funding apart from NSW and NT. The largest reductions in government funding were expected by medium, large and extra-large organisations $(-6,-5,-6$ percentage points), those in visual arts (-5 percentage points), media and communications ( -7 percentage points), and societies ( -5 percentage points).


Some organisations anticipated a change in earned income in the next five years which would impact their funding mix. Societies expected increased earned income (+7 percentage points) and decreased government funding ( -5 percentage points) and income from other sources ( -4 percentage points). WA organisations also anticipated growth in earned income (8 percentage points) balanced by slight declines in both government (-3 percentage points) and private support (-1 percentage point). In contrast, NT organisations expected a decrease in earned income (-4 percentage points) compensated by a slight increase in government funding (+1 percentage point) and private support (2 percentage points). Results suggest that organisations in all jurisdictions anticipated an uplift in private support apart from WA. Those expecting the most growth in private support were based in ACT (5 percentage points) and Tasmania (6 percentage points). NSW organisations expected no change in the proportion of government support and slight increases in private (3 percentage points) and earned income (1 percentage point).

| \% point <br> difference <br> current + future <br> funding mix | ACT | NSW | NT | QLD | SA | TAS | VIC | WA |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Government | -5 | 0 | 1 | -3 | -5 | -8 | -3 | -3 |
| Earned income | -3 | 1 | -4 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 8 |
| Private sector | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 2 | -1 |
| Other sources | 3 | -4 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -2 | -1 | -4 |

## Perceived Performance

## KeyTake Outs

This section profiles arts and cultural organisations' perceptions of fundraising;

- Government and private sector funding are regarded as equally important.
- Overall the sector reports more success at government than private fundraising.
- Larger organisations rate higher success at all fundraising than smaller organisations.
- Larger organisations claim more success at government than private fundraising.
- Micro organisations report limited success at government and private fundraising.
- Outer metro organisations claim more success at private than government fundraising.

Government and private sector funding are regarded as equally important to the viability of arts and cultural organisations. However, organisations reported being more knowledgeable, experienced and successful at raising funds from government compared with private sources.


Overall, larger organisations believe they are more successful at both government and private fundraising than smaller organisations. Extra-large organisations with over \$5 million turnover reported more success with government fundraising (7.9 out of 10) compared with private fundraising ( 6.5 out of 10 ). While micro organisations with under \$50,000 turnover reported limited success with both government (3.9 out of 10 ) and private (3.4 out of 10) fundraising.

Other notable results were;

- Organisations located in NT, SA, TAS, and WA rate themselves as more successful at government fundraising than those located in NSW (4.2).
- NT organisations had the largest gap (3.0) between success at government (6.9) and private (3.9) fundraising, closely followed by TAS (which had a gap of 2.7).
- Results indicate that organisations located in outer metro areas may rate themselves as more successful at private fundraising (4.9) than government fundraising (3.9) and as less successful at raising government funding than inner metro and non-metro organisations.
raising funds government/government sources


## Private Sector Support

## Key'Take Outs

This section profiles estimates of the value of private sector support based upon AIS and survey data;

- Total private sector support was estimated to be $\$ 608$ million in the recent reporting period.
- Most was earned in NSW (\$206 million) and Victoria (\$161 million).
- The return on investment was $\$ 3.50$ for every \$1 spent on fundraising; QLD had the highest and SA had the lowest return.
- Extra-large organisations had the highest return (4.5) and medium-sized organisations had the lowest return $\$ 2.40$ for every $\$ 1$ invested in fundraising.
- Over 1 in 10 organisations surveyed spent more on fundraising than they earned.


## Total Private Support ${ }^{6}$

Total private support income is estimated to be $\$ 608$ million based upon analysis of AIS reports and survey results. Private sector support was valued at $\$ 221$ million in 200910 through the Australian Business Arts Foundation (AbaF) Survey. While this suggests a large increase in private support over time, it should be noted that these results are not directly comparable because of differences in methodologies. Industry estimates by the Bureau of Communications and Arts Research were considerably lower at \$268 million for 2015-16 based on their projections of the AbaF survey results ${ }^{7}$.


[^2]This study found that most of the estimated $\$ 608$ million in private support was earned in NSW (\$206 million) and VIC (\$161 million) followed by QLD (\$92 million) and WA (\$54 million). Extra-large organisations attracted the most private support (\$251 million), followed by large organisations (\$67 million). Around $\$ 100$ million was generated by Major Performing Arts organisations which was $17 \%$ of total private support.


## Return on Investment ${ }^{8}$

The return on investment (ROI) for arts and cultural fundraising was $\$ 3.50$ raised for every $\$ 1$ spent which is below the benchmark measure for fundraising in Australia of about $\$ 5$ raised for every $\$ 1$ spent. ${ }^{9}$ JBWere found that the overall trend in fundraising is for reduced ROI - since while the amount of funds raised has grown the cost of fundraising goals has grown faster. The return on investment in fundraising in the not-for-profit sector has fallen consistently over the last decade due partly to increased competition. ${ }^{10}$

Analysis by jurisdiction shows that QLD had the highest overall rate of return with $\$ 4$ raised for every $\$ 1$ spent on fundraising, closely followed by NSW (\$3.80) and VIC (\$3.60).

ROI by State


SA had the lowest return (\$2.10) of all jurisdictions. NT earned similar levels of support to SA but had much lower costs - and therefore had a better a return on investment (\$3.20).

[^3]| Total \$ | Fundraising Income | Fundraising Expenses | ROI |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ACT | $\$ 18,086,179$ | $\$ 6,003,932$ | 3.0 |
| NSW | $\$ 206,141,527$ | $\$ 54,127,671$ | 3.8 |
| NT | $\$ 25,724,777$ | $\$ 8,121,160$ | 3.2 |
| QLD | $\$ 92,254,416$ | $\$ 23,146,114$ | 4.0 |
| SA | $\$ 36,588,717$ | $\$ 17,484,676$ | 2.1 |
| TAS | $\$ 10,267,997$ | $\$ 3,468,289$ | 3.0 |
| VIC | $\$ 160,762,050$ | $\$ 45,221,700$ | 3.6 |
| WA | $\$ 54,446,107$ | $\$ 16,505,873$ | 3.3 |

Extra-large organisations had the highest returns overall earning $\$ 4.50$ for every $\$ 1$ invested in fundraising - which was a greater return than large organisations (\$3.20). Medium-sized organisations had the lowest returns (\$2.40) - they spent more on fundraising in total (\$23 million) than both small (\$19 million) and large organisations (\$21 million) but earned less in private support (\$55 million, \$63 million, \$67 million).

## ROI by Organisation Size



## Net Private Support ${ }^{11}$

This section uses the survey responses to profile net private support. The level of net support is influenced by the size of organisations - with larger organisations earning higher levels of net support than smaller organisations. Over 1 in 10 arts and cultural organisations surveyed had very high levels of net private support - earning over $\$ 250,000$ after fundraising costs. Two thirds of extra-large organisations (69\%) had very high levels of net private support compared with just over 1 in 10 medium organisations (12\%) and less than 1 in 20 micro organisations (4\%).


[^4]
## Private Support Mix

## Key Take Outs

This section profiles the type and level of private support for arts and cultural organisations from the survey;

- Half of all support is given in cash and one third in volunteer and pro bono services.
- Donations and sponsorship are the main sources of cash support.
- Larger organisations have the most supporters and the highest levels of private income.
- Private support makes up a larger share of the total income of smaller organisations.
- Volunteer and pro bono services are vital to smaller and non-metro organisations.


## Type of Private Supporters

Some private supporters bring more to the table than others. While individuals play an important role, those organisations with strong support from businesses, trusts and foundations tend to earn more support overall.

Overall, the largest number of contributors are individuals (91\%) - followed by businesses (7\%) and trusts and foundations (2\%). On average, arts and cultural organisations had the support of about 120 individuals, 16 businesses and four trusts or foundations.


Extra-large and large organisations have a higher number of all types of private supporters than medium, small and micro organisations. The results indicate that on average small organisations have a larger number of individual and business supporters than medium organisations. One interpretation is that small organisations have more lower value supporters than medium organisations as results indicate they also have lower private income on average.

| Average no. <br> of sponsors/donors | Micro $(<\$ 50 \mathrm{k})$ | Small <br> $(\$ 50 \mathrm{k}-<\$ 250 \mathrm{k})$ | Medium <br> $\mathbf{( \$ 2 5 0 k - < \$ 1 m )}$ | Large <br> $\mathbf{( \$ 1 m}-<\$ 5 \mathrm{~m})$ | Extra Large <br> $(\$ 5 \mathrm{~m}+)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Individuals | 35 | 161 | 47 | 272 | 575 |
| Businesses | 13 | 18 | 11 | 25 | 25 |
| Trusts/foundations | 6 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 |

Organisations located in inner metro areas have a higher number of individual supporters on average (190) compared to those in non-metro areas (90).

Media and communications organisations have a higher number of business supporters (31 businesses) compared to visual arts (10 businesses) and performing arts (8 businesses). However, they appear to receive less (16\%) of total support from sponsorships compared to $22 \%$ for visual arts and $31 \%$ for performing arts. It is likely that most of this business support for media and communications is through pro bono and volunteer time.

Results indicate that Media and Communications (7) and Festivals (8) may have more trusts and/or foundations supporting them compared with Visual Arts (3).

| Average no. <br> of sponsors/donors | Media and <br> communications | Visual arts | Performing <br> arts | Societies | Museums and <br> galleries | Festivals | Other |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | (317

## Type of Investment

Total private support was reported in cash, in-kind and through volunteer and pro bono services. Most support was provided in cash ( $58 \%$ equivalent to $\$ 351$ million ${ }^{12}$ ), with nearly one third given in volunteer and pro bono time (30\% equivalent of $\$ 180$ million), and the rest through in-kind support ( $13 \%$ equivalent to $\$ 77$ million).


About half of all cash support was given in donations ( $52 \%$ equivalent to $\$ 183$ million), while over one quarter was through sponsorships (27\% equivalent to $\$ 96$ million), over one in ten in bequests (14\% equivalent to $\$ 49$ million), and the remainder in fundraising (7\% equivalent to $\$ 23$ million).


If the value of in-kind support was added to cash support, then sponsorship would have a larger share of total support ( $37 \%$ equivalent to $\$ 160$ million) due to the sizeable amount of in-kind support (equivalent to $\$ 63$ million) for this category. In-kind support made up 40\% of all support from sponsors perhaps reflecting the willingness of businesses to donate products and facilities in support of their business goals. Please note that in-kind support does not include the value of volunteer and/or pro bono time which was reported separately.

Trends in the Major Performing Arts (MPA) sector funding are useful to consider. Research in 2017 identified a clear trend away from cash sponsorship towards in-kind support amongst MPA organisations ${ }^{13}$. It also found that donation income (including bequests) was increasing at a faster rate than corporate sponsorships and was driving the overall increase in private sector earnings for the MPA sector. In 2016, donations made up $57 \%$ of total private sector earnings compared to $25 \%$ in 2001. ${ }^{14}$


[^5]Private support accounts for a higher proportion of total income for smaller organisations, making up almost one third $(30 \%)$ of the income of micro organisations (turnover $<\$ 50,000$ ) compared with less than one fifth of the income (17\%) of large and extra-large organisations. Volunteer and pro bono services are essential to smaller organisations - particularly micro organisations where they made up three-quarters of support received ${ }^{15}$. Extra-large organisations reported little volunteer and pro bono support (3\%) - their main sources of private support were donations (43\%) and sponsorships (35\%).


Inner metro organisations have a different mix of private support compared to outer and non-metro organisations. They receive about two thirds of their support from sponsorship and donations, while non-metro organisations receive over one-third of support from these sources. Outer metro and non-metro organisations are more dependent upon volunteer and pro bono services ( $76 \%, 55 \%$ ) compared with metro organisations (18\%).


Performing arts organisations earned nearly one quarter (23\%) of their total income from private support, mostly from donations (41\%) and sponsorship (31\%). Visual arts organisations earned nearly one fifth (19\%) of their total income from private support - one third from donations (35\%) and one fifth ( $22 \%$ ) from sponsorship, and bequests (17\%). Museums and Galleries earned $23 \%$ of their total income from private support, mainly comprised of sponsorship (26\%) donations (33\%), and pro bono and volunteer support (34\%). Festivals earned more (29\%) of their total income from private support - which was mainly earned through sponsorships (60\%).

[^6]Pro bono and volunteer support were important to the Media and communications (68\%) and Societies (59\%) - making up most of the private support they received.


NSW organisations received $29 \%$ of their income from private support on average. Most of this was through donations (43\%). Results indicate NT had the smallest proportion of income from the private sector (9\%) and most of this was sponsorship (44\%). TAS also had a small share of income from private support (14\%) and volunteer and pro bono services made up two thirds of this (66\%).


## Success in Private Fundraising



## Key Take Outs

This section profiles fundraising activities and identifies the drivers of fundraising success;

- The most commonly used fundraising activities are also the most successful.
- Long term relationships and a community of donors/sponsors are the key to success.
- Making a direct approach to individuals for a donation is the most effective activity.
- Being comfortable asking for support from the private sector is important to success.
- It may be more effective to approach businesses for sponsorships than donations.
- Board engagement is a key contributor to fundraising success.

Organisations Fundraising Activities


## Fundraising Drivers

This study confirms that the personal approach is the most effective fundraising strategy; both in terms of fundraising activities and the fundraising style of the organisation. Statistical analysis found the main indicator of fundraising success was whether organisations report they have built long term relationships with (or developed a community of) donors and sponsors. Overall, nearly half of respondents (45\%) reported that they had achieved this.

Making a direct approach to individuals for a donation is the most effective and successful fundraising activity and most arts organisations (79\%) had done this. Analysis shows that success at this activity is linked to success at fundraising overall. For each point on the scale of success in approaching individuals for a donation, we would expect a 0.4 unit increase on the fundraising success scale.

| High importance / Low success | High importance / High successDirect approach <br> to individuals <br> for donations |
| :---: | :---: |
| Collection boxes |  |
| Crowdfunding campaigns |  |
| Outdoor activities <br> Low importance / Low success | Low importance / High success |

Most organisations directly approach businesses for sponsorship (80\%) and rate this as a highly effective activity, and our statistical analysis confirms that this is a significant driver of overall fundraising success. However, making a direct approach to a business for a donation (undertaken by $72 \%$ ) is rated as highly effective by organisations but statistical analysis shows that it is not as highly correlated with overall success at negotiating sponsorship.

Entertainment events were hosted by two thirds of organisations and ranked by them as the most successful activity. However, statistical analysis shows that direct approaches to individuals for donations and business for sponsorship are more highly correlated with fundraising success than entertainment events. Entertainment comes at a cost and accounted for $17 \%$ of all fundraising expenses in the reporting period. Previous research has found that the ROI on fundraising events may be lower than other fundraising methods, earning about \$3 for every \$1 invested. ${ }^{16}$

Collection boxes may be more effective than organisations think. They are used by almost half of organisations (46\%), and are not rated as a particularly successful activity, yet statistical analysis shows that collection boxes have a relatively strong link to overall fundraising success.

Building long term relationships with or being able to develop a community of donors/sponsors is an important indicator of success at private sector fundraising. Organisations indicated above the average performance on this indicator. Similarly, being comfortable asking for support from the private sector is a key driver for successful fundraising. Organisations generally perform above the average at this key indicator. Finally, having difficulty finding staff with the right skills to drive fundraising is a key challenge for success and organisations recognise this challenge.

Having staff dedicated to fundraising is an important driver of success, yet, companies indicate below average performance at this key indicator. So, giving priority to increasing the number and skills of dedicated staff for fundraising is key. Similarly, establishing fundraising firmly as part of the organisation's activities is relatively important to success, however, organisations indicate below average performance for this indicator.

| Predictor of <br> fundraising success | Fundraising attributes | Point change in <br> success for each <br> unit increase |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Primary predictor | The organisation has built long term relationships with <br> or has developed a community of donors/sponsors | 1.8 unit increase |
| Secondary predictor | The organisation is comfortable asking for support from the private sector | 1.2 unit increase |
| Tertiary predictors | The organisation has staff dedicated to fundraising | 0.6 unit increase |
|  | Fundraising is a well-established part of the organisation's activities |  |
|  | Fundraising is based on sound methodology and proven techniques |  |
| Tertiary predictors | The organisation has difficulty finding staff with the right skills | 0.7 unit decrease |

[^7]
## Fundraising Capacity

## KeyTake Outs

This section profiles fundraising perceptions, staffing levels and board engagement;

- Larger organisations report greater fundraising skills and experience.
- Micro organisations report low levels of fundraising skills and experience.
- Three in four organisations do not employ dedicated fund-raising staff.
- Smaller organisations are less likely to have fundraising staff than larger ones.
- Two thirds of organisations had a board engaged with fundraising.


## Knowledge and Experience

Larger organisations rate their fundraising experience and knowledge higher than smaller organisations.

| Fundraising Assessment <br> (average score out of 10) | Micro <br> $(<\$ 50 \mathrm{k})$ | Small <br> $(\$ 50 \mathrm{k}-<\$ 250 \mathrm{k})$ | Medium <br> $(\$ 250 \mathrm{k}-<\$ 1 \mathrm{~m})$ | Large <br> $(\$ 1 \mathrm{~m}-<\$ 5 \mathrm{~m})$ | Extra Large <br> $(\$ 5 \mathrm{~m}+)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Knowledge of availability of <br> funds | 4.0 | 4.8 | 5.4 | 6.4 | 7.4 |
| Experience at raising funds | 3.5 | 4.4 | 4.6 | 5.9 | 6.8 |

## Staff Resources

Most arts and cultural organisations do not have dedicated fundraising staff (73\%). Overall, 1 in 4 organisations employ dedicated fundraising staff (24\%). Amongst this group, the average number of fundraising staff employed is 1.4 FTE. Larger organisations are more likely to employ dedicated fundraising staff. About 1 in 10 small organisations have fundraising staff. Over 8 in 10 of the Extra-large organisations (\$5 million and over) employ fundraising staff with an average of 3.9 FTE in their development teams.


Those organisations who were most likely to have dedicated fundraising staff;

- Extra-large (85\%) and large (57\%) organisations
- Located in WA (39\%), NT (38\%) or SA (37\%)
- Media and communications (33\%)
- Inner metro based (34\%)

Those least likely to have fundraising staff were;

- Micro organisations (11\%)
- Societies (9\%)
- Located in NSW (15\%)
- Outer metro or non-metro based ( $16 \%, 19 \%$ )

Other research has shown that MPA companies have employed more staff to raise donation income - 75.3 FTE in 2017 up 6.1 FTE on 2015 levels ${ }^{17}$.

## Board Engagement

Most organisations had an unpaid board (79\%) and two thirds (66\%) had a board that was engaged with fundraising. Statistical analysis found that where the board is engaged, a $1.2^{18}$ unit increase in overall success at raising funds from the private sector would be expected, versus a board that is not engaged.

While few organisations reported a lack of support from their board as a challenge, 1 in 10 proposed increased board involvement would help them be more successful in raising private sector support in future.

Those organisations who were most likely to have an engaged board;

- Micro organisations (80\%)
- Organisations based in SA (83\%)

Those least likely to have an engaged board were;

- Large organisations (42\%)
- Organisations based in the NT (38\%)

[^8]
## Fundraising Costs



## Key Take Outs

This section profiles the type and level of fundraising costs;

- About half of all fundraising costs were spent on salaries.
- The largest organisations spent the most on fundraising.
- Performing Arts and Museums and Galleries spent the most on fundraising.
- About a quarter of consultancy costs were reported to be in-kind.


## Type of Costs

Arts and cultural organisations dedicated a lot of resources to fundraising. Salaries made up about half of all costs ( $47 \%$ ) while consultants fees made up 3\%. Event expenses made up $17 \%$ of costs, while marketing made up $8 \%$ of total costs.


Consultancies
3\%

Most of the costs of organisations were expended cash (88\%) with the remainder in-kind (12\%). In-kind costs made up about one quarter of all consultancy costs (26\%).


Extra-large organisations (> $\$ 5$ million) spent the most on fundraising overall and most of this was on salaries (54\%). Micro organisations (< $\$ 50,000$ ) spent the least on fundraising overall and their costs were relatively evenly divided between salaries (28\%), events (30\%), and general expenses (30\%).


Inner metro organisations spent more on fundraising overall compared to non-metro organisations and more of this went towards salaries (53\%) than for non-metro organisations (40\%).


Performing arts organisations spent the most on fundraising overall followed by museums and galleries - both spent about half their fundraising costs on salaries. Societies spent the least on fundraising overall, with over one quarter going towards salaries.


NSW organisations spent the most on fundraising overall, followed by those in VIC - with about half spent on salaries ( $47 \%, 50 \%$ ). TAS organisations spent the least on fundraising in total and nearly three quarters of their costs were for salaries (76\%). SA organisations spent a higher proportion on consultancies (13\%) than other jurisdictions. ACT organisations spent about half on salaries (49\%) and almost one third on event expenses (31\%).


## Challenges and Opportunities



## Key Take Outs

This section profiles the barriers and opportunities to fundraising success identified by arts and cultural organisations;

- The main challenges identified were lack of staff and skills to fundraise.
- The main opportunities were for more skilled and dedicated fundraising staff and volunteers.
- Organisational branding, profile and marketing were also seen as an opportunity by many.
- Over one in five saw relationship building as a challenge.
- Nearly one in ten mentioned increased board engagement as an opportunity.
- Over half did not have the resources to achieve more fundraising success.
- Larger organisations were more likely to have the resources to improve than smaller ones.


## Challenges

The main challenges identified in achieving fundraising success were lack of staff and skills to fundraise ( $30 \%$ ) which was an issue reported by organisations of all sizes.
"We have little experience in this area, do not have any idea where to start and are also very understaffed."

Some mentioned feeling uncomfortable about asking for support, particularly those without dedicated fundraising staff.
"We are all volunteers trying to preserve heritage. We do not have marketing backgrounds and do not like to ask people for money directly."
> "It has not been part of the culture of leadership directing in the past. Current staff do not consider it part of their role even though there is a number of opportunities to such donors and philanthropic support from the community."

Large organisations were more likely to be challenged by developing, maintaining and retaining relationships with sources of funding (42\%) compared with micro (19\%), small (20\%), and medium organisations (21\%).
"Fundraising has always been difficult and often it is dependent on timing. However, it covers many areas relationship, timing, the 'fit' with values of the organisation, the type of ask and the economy - it is not just one component, but it covers many."

Competition and donor fatigue were an issue for some (12\%) - and sponsorship was mentioned as an area of increased competition and lower yield.
" Corporate sponsorships are increasingly difficult to secure, particularly multi-year arrangements. Priorities for a number of corporate partners have changed over recent years."

## Challenges of Organisations

| Lack of personnel/resources/skills/expertise |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Difficulties gaining/maintaining/retaining relationships with source of funding |  |
| Competitive market/donor fatigue | $20 \%$ |
| Lack of time | $10 \%$ |
| Lack of interest compared to other arts/non-arts organisations | $6 \%$ |
| Remote location | $5 \%$ |
| Limited time of volunteers | $5 \%$ |
| Other | $5 \%$ |
| Small organisation/company | $4 \%$ |
| Getting noticed/standing out | $2 \%$ |
| Lack of interest/support from the board level | $2 \%$ |

## Opportunities

The main ways organisations reported they could become more successful in raising private support were; developing a higher organisational profile or a clearer value proposition, having more skilled staff or volunteers, and having dedicated fundraising staff or volunteers
"Better training, better overall 'pitch', better understanding of why businesses might support us and what they want in return."
"Better articulated organisational point-ofdifference and goals, more dedicated staff time, more board support/activity."

However, for some employing dedicated fundraising staff didn't seem possible.
"Dedicated staff is not realistic in the short-term, therein, drawing on board members expertise in fundraising and making better use of their networks within the philanthropic and business sectors is critical."
"The cost-benefit doesn't add up. The core staff do not have time to engage in fundraising activity and we don't have the funds to hire someone who does and support their role until it begins to pay for itself and then provide surplus back to the organisation, so we're caught between a rock and a hard place."

Some also mentioned the need to be more strategic about seeking potential supporters including more actively engaging the board.
"We need better networks with high net worth individuals and more external champions for our organisation. Likewise, a more connected board and executive."
"We are putting a team together. Things are changing. More board education, team awareness."

Over half of organisations reported they did not have the resources to implement the changes needed to be more successful in raising private sector support. Just one in five organisations reported they have the resources they needed. Extra-large and Large organisations were more likely to have the resources they needed (50\%,31\%) than smaller organisations (micro $16 \%$, small 15\%).

Opportunities \% of Organisations


Appendices

## Appendix A - Data Tables

## Arts and Culture Profile

| Position of the respondent | n | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Chief Executive Officer or equivalent | 280 | $51 \%$ |
| Chief Financial Officer or equivalent | 108 | $20 \%$ |
| Director/Manager | 74 | $13 \%$ |
| Development Manager or equivalent | 37 | $7 \%$ |
| Clerical | 33 | $6 \%$ |
| Other | 4 | $1 \%$ |
| Don't know/no answer | 15 | $3 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{n}$ |  |
| Nature of organisation | 516 | $\mathbf{\%}$ |
| Not an Aboriginal Torres Strait Islander organisation | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{9 4}$ |
| Aboriginal Torres Strait Islander organisation | $\mathbf{5 5 1}$ | $6 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |  |
|  | $\mathbf{n}$ |  |
| Status | 517 | $\mathbf{9}$ |
| Not-for-profit organisation | 22 | $4 \%$ |
| For profit organisation | 12 | $2 \%$ |
| Don't know/no answer | $\mathbf{5 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Total |  |  |

## Appendix B - Data Tables

## Total Private Support

| Estimated Fundraising \$ by State | Support income | Support expense | Net support | ROI |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ACT | $18,086,179$ | $6,003,932$ | $12,082,247$ | 3.0 |
| NSW | $206,141,527$ | $54,127,671$ | $152,013,856$ | 3.8 |
| NT | $25,724,777$ | $8,121,160$ | $17,603,617$ | 3.2 |
| QLD | $92,254,416$ | $23,146,114$ | $69,108,302$ | 4.0 |
| SA | $36,588,717$ | $17,484,676$ | $19,104,041$ | 2.1 |
| TAS | $10,267,997$ | $3,468,289$ | $6,799,708$ | 3.0 |
| VIC | $160,762,050$ | $45,221,700$ | $115,540,350$ | 3.6 |
| WA | $54,446,107$ | $16,505,873$ | $37,940,234$ | 3.3 |
| Unknown | $3,701,462$ | $1,330,238$ | $2,371,224$ | 2.8 |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 0 7 , 9 7 3 , 2 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 5 , 4 0 9 , 6 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 2 , 5 6 3 , 5 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 5}$ |


| Estimated Fundraising <br> \$ by Organisation <br> Size |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Micro | Support income | Support expense | Net support | ROI |
| Small | $16,856,651$ | $6,375,740$ | $10,480,911$ | 2.6 |
| Medium | $62,562,830$ | $18,797,756$ | $43,765,074$ | 3.3 |
| Large | $55,238,578$ | $22,950,529$ | $32,288,049$ | 2.4 |
| Extra Large | $67,459,137$ | $21,378,379$ | $46,080,758$ | 3.2 |
| Unknown | $251,353,621$ | $56,117,614$ | $195,236,007$ | 4.5 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 5 4 , 5 0 2 , 4 1 5}$ | $49,789,635$ | $104,712,780$ | 3.1 |


| Estimated Fundraising \$ x MPA <br> status | Support income | Support expense | Net support | ROI |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| AMPAG | $100,862,010$ | $20,292,008$ | $80,570,002$ | 5.0 |
| Non AMPAG | $507,111,222$ | $155,117,645$ | $351,993,577$ | 3.3 |

## Net Private Support

| Net Private Support by Organisation Turnover \% of Organisations ${ }^{19}$ | Micro ( $<\$ 50 \mathrm{k}$ ) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Small } \\ (\$ 50 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{\$} \mathbf{\$ 2 5 0 k}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Medium } \\ (\$ 250 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{<} \$ 1 \mathrm{~m}) \end{array}$ | Large $(\$ 1 m-<\$ 5 m)$ | Extra Large (\$5m+) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Negative net support | 15 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 13 |
| Low net support (\$0-50k) | 46 | 37 | 39 | 18 | 0 |
| Medium net support (\$50-100k) | 9 | 12 | 13 | 10 | 6 |
| High net support (\$100-250k) | 8 | 22 | 14 | 18 | 7 |
| Very High net support (> \$250k) | 4 | 10 | 12 | 38 | 69 |
| No information provided | 18 | 6 | 10 | 4 | 6 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |


| Net Private Support by State \% of <br> Organisations | ACT | NSW | NT | QLD | SA | TAS | VIC |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | WA

[^9]
## Funding Sources



| Percentage point change <br> in source of Total Income | Media and <br> communications | Visual <br> arts | Performing <br> arts | Societies | Museums and <br> galleries | Festivals | Other |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Government | -7 | -5 | 0 | -5 | -2 | -2 | -2 |
| Earned income | 4 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Private Sector Support | 4 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| Other | -1 | -1 | -1 | -4 | -2 | -1 | -4 |


| Percentage point change <br> in source of Total Income | Micro $(<\$ 50 \mathrm{k})$ | Small <br> $(\$ 50 \mathrm{k}-<\$ 250 \mathrm{k})$ | Medium <br> $(\$ 250 \mathrm{k}-<\$ 1 \mathrm{~m})$ | Large <br> $(\$ 1 \mathrm{~m}-<\$ 5 \mathrm{~m})$ | Extra Large <br> $(\$ 5 \mathrm{~m}+)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Government | -1 | -2 | -6 | -5 | -6 |
| Earned income | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| Private Sector Support | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Other | -3 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 0 |


| Percentage point change <br> in source of Total Income | ACT | NSW | NT | QLD | SA | TAS | VIC | WA |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Government | -5 | 0 | 1 | -3 | -5 | -8 | -3 | -3 |
| Earned income | -3 | 1 | -4 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 8 |
| Private Sector Support | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 2 | -1 |
| Other | 3 | -4 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -2 | -1 | -4 |


| Percentage point change in source of Total Income | Inner metro | Outer metro | Non metro |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Government | -1 | 0 | -3 |
| Earned income | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Private Sector Support | 3 | 0 | 2 |
| Other | -3 | 0 | -1 |

## Perceived Performance

| Success at fundraising average (score out of 10) | Media and communications |  | Visual arts | Performing arts | Societies |  | Museums and galleries |  | Festivals |  | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Government |  | 5.6 | 5.3 | 3.5 | 4.8 |  |  | 5.1 |  |  | 6.3 |
| Private Sector |  | 5.0 | 3.8 | 8.1 | 3.3 |  |  | 3.9 |  |  | 4.2 |
| Gap |  | 0.6 | 1.6 | - 0.4 | 1.4 |  |  | 1.2 |  |  | 2.0 |
| Success at fundraising average (score out of 10) |  | Micro ( $<\$ 50 \mathrm{k}$ ) |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Small } \\ (\$ 50 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{<} \mathbf{\$ 2 5 0 k}) \end{array}$ | Medium ( $\$ 250 \mathrm{k}$ - < $\$ 1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) |  |  | Large (\$1m-<\$5m) |  | Extra Large (\$5m+) |  |
| Government |  | 3.9 |  | 5.0 | 6.4 |  |  | 7.4 |  |  | 7.9 |
| Private Sector |  | 3.4 |  | 4.2 | 4.6 |  |  | 5.6 |  |  | 6.5 |
| Gap |  | 0.5 |  | 0.9 | 1.9 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.4 |
| Success at fundraising average (score out of 10) | ACT |  | NSW | NT O | QLD | SA |  | TAS | VIC |  | WA |
| Government | 5.7 |  | 4.2 | 6.8 | 5.3 | 6.0 |  | 7.2 |  |  | 6.0 |
| Private Sector | 4.2 |  | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 5.1 |  | 4.5 |  |  | 3.8 |
| Gap | 1.5 |  | 0.3 | 3.0 | 1.6 |  | 0.9 | 2.7 |  | 0.6 | 2.2 |
| Success at fundraising average (score out of 10) |  |  |  |  | Inner metro |  | Outer metro |  |  | Non metro |  |
| Government |  |  |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 3.9 |  | 5.4 |  |
| Private Sector |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  | 4.9 |  | 4.1 |  |
| Gap |  |  |  |  | 0.9 |  |  | -1.0 |  |  | 1.3 |

## Fundraising Activities

| Fundraising Activities \% of Organisations Undertaking | Media and <br> communications |  | Visual arts | Performing arts | Societies | Museums and galleries |  | Festivals | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crowdfunding campaigns |  | 36 | 38 | 40 | 30 |  | 37 | 33 | 27 |
| Social media campaigns |  | 47 | 48 | 55 | 56 |  | 51 | 61 | 39 |
| Entertainment event |  | 67 | 62 | 67 | 66 |  | 64 | 61 | 70 |
| Outdoor activity |  | 24 | 25 | 22 | 37 |  | 23 | 27 | 24 |
| Auction/silent auction |  | 26 | 41 | 33 | 30 |  | 36 | 31 | 20 |
| Collection boxes |  | 47 | 53 | 41 | 55 |  | 52 | 45 | 22 |
| Raffles |  | 50 | 59 | 52 | 66 |  | 61 | 58 | 57 |
| Donations with sale of tickets/items |  | 45 | 60 | 57 | 66 |  | 61 | 57 | 48 |
| Directly approaching individuals to ask for donations |  | 68 | 85 | 85 | 60 |  | 82 | 79 | 72 |
| Directly approaching businesses to ask for donations |  | 63 | 76 | 73 | 67 |  | 72 | 80 | 81 |
| Directly approaching businesses to ask for sponsorships |  | 79 | 84 | 80 | 59 |  | 79 | 91 | 93 |
| Fundraising Activities \% of Organisations Undertaking |  | Micro ( | 0k) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Small } \\ (\$ 50 \mathrm{k}-<\$ 250 \mathrm{k}) \end{array}$ | (\$250k | dium <br> \$1m) | (\$1m - |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { a Large } \\ & (\$ 5 m+) \end{aligned}$ |
| Crowdfunding campaigns |  |  | 29 | 34 |  | 44 |  | 47 | 29 |
| Social media campaigns |  |  | 38 | 49 |  | 63 |  | 62 | 52 |
| Entertainment event |  |  | 62 | 67 |  | 64 |  | 60 | 77 |
| Outdoor activity |  |  | 22 | 25 |  | 18 |  | 17 | 13 |
| Auction/silent auction |  |  | 29 | 35 |  | 38 |  | 29 | 46 |
| Collection boxes |  |  | 44 | 51 |  | 46 |  | 42 | 37 |
| Raffles |  |  | 67 | 58 |  | 46 |  | 31 | 34 |
| Donations with sale of tickets/it | items |  | 56 | 59 |  | 55 |  | 55 | 67 |
| Directly approaching individual to ask for donations |  |  | 75 | 85 |  | 75 |  | 85 | 90 |
| Directly approaching business to ask for donations |  |  | 74 | 74 |  | 65 |  | 72 | 74 |
| Directly approaching business to ask for sponsorships |  |  | 75 | 83 |  | 79 |  | 91 | 93 |


| Fundraising Activities \% of Organisations Undertaking | ACT | NSW | NT | QLD | SA | TAS | VIC | WA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crowdfunding campaigns | 37 | 32 | 29 | 39 | 26 | 46 | 39 | 31 |
| Social media campaigns | 31 | 49 | 32 | 45 | 49 | 28 | 58 | 47 |
| Entertainment event | 58 | 67 | 68 | 68 | 47 | 71 | 63 | 57 |
| Outdoor activity | 9 | 20 | 9 | 23 | 6 | 49 | 24 | 25 |
| Auction/silent auction | 47 | 29 | 57 | 35 | 30 | 43 | 33 | 29 |
| Collection boxes | 36 | 46 | 39 | 49 | 41 | 44 | 49 | 43 |
| Raffles | 37 | 58 | 63 | 66 | 56 | 63 | 49 | 59 |
| Donations with sale of tickets/ items | 82 | 48 | 37 | 62 | 52 | 79 | 66 | 48 |
| Directly approaching individuals to ask for donations | 94 | 79 | 80 | 78 | 71 | 87 | 81 | 73 |
| Directly approaching businesses to ask for donations | 77 | 71 | 59 | 81 | 69 | 73 | 69 | 77 |
| Directly approaching businesses to ask for sponsorships | 89 | 80 | 83 | 78 | 81 | 90 | 82 | 72 |
| Fundraising Activities \% of Organisations Undertaking |  |  |  | Inner |  | Outer m |  | Non metro |
| Crowdfunding campaigns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 37 |
| Social media campaigns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 48 |
| Entertainment event |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 63 |
| Outdoor activity |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  | 24 |
| Auction/silent auction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 36 |
| Collection boxes |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  | 53 |
| Raffles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 63 |
| Donations with sale of tickets/items |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 53 |
| Directly approaching individuals to ask for donations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 77 |
| Directly approaching businesses to ask for donations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 75 |
| Directly approaching businesses to ask for sponsorships |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 82 |

## Fundraising Drivers

$\left.\begin{array}{l|r|rr}\hline \text { Fundraising Activities by category } & \begin{array}{r}\text { Organisations } \\ \text { carried out } \\ \text { activity } \%\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Mean Success } \\ \text { Ratings (out of } \\ \text { ten) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Derived } \\ \text { fundraising success) }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Importance }\end{array}\right\}$

## Fundraising Capacity

| Private Fundraising Assessment (average score out of 10) | Media and communications | Visual arts | Perform | ming <br> arts | Societies | Museums and galleries | Festivals | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Importance to viability of organisation | 7.0 | 6.2 |  | 6.6 | 6.2 | 6.5 | 7.4 | 6.9 |
| Knowledgeable about availability of funds | 5.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.7 | 4.4 | 4.7 | 5.1 | 4.9 |
| Experience in raising funds | 5.3 | 4.0 |  | 4.2 | 3.4 | 4.0 | 4.4 | 4.5 |
| Private Fundraising Assessment (average score out of 10) | Micro (<\$50k) | (\$50k | Small <br> \$250k) |  | Medium к->\$1m) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Large } \\ (\$ 1 m-<\$ 5 m) \end{array}$ |  | Large (\$5m+) |
| Importance to viability of organisation | 6.0 |  | 6.6 |  | 6.9 | 8.0 |  | 8.3 |
| Knowledgeable about availability of funds | 4.0 |  | 4.8 |  | 5.4 | 6.4 |  | 7.4 |
| Experience in raising funds | 3.5 |  | 4.4 |  | 4.6 | 5.9 |  | 6.8 |




## Challenges and Opportunities

| Main challenges to fundraising from <br> the private sector \% of organisations experienced (unprompted) | Micro (<\$50k) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Small } \\ (\$ 50 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{\$} 250 \mathrm{k}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Medium } \\ (\$ 250 \mathrm{k}-<\mathbf{1 m}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Large } \\ (\$ 1 \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{<} \$ \mathrm{~m}) \end{array}$ | Extra Large (\$5m+) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lack of personnel/resources/skills/expertise | 22 | 33 | 44 | 34 | 40 |
| Difficulties gaining/maintaining/retaining relationships with source of funding | 19 | 20 | 21 | 42 | 38 |
| Competitive market/donor fatigue | 8 | 15 | 14 | 16 | 29 |
| Lack of time | 7 | 10 | 19 | 11 | 7 |
| Lack of interest compared to other arts/non-arts organisations | 5 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 11 |
| Remote location | 4 | 4 | 10 | 7 | 6 |
| Limited time of volunteers | 5 | 10 |  |  |  |
| Other | 5 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 6 |
| Small organisation/company | 2 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 6 |
| Getting noticed/standing out | 3 |  | 4 | 4 | 1 |
| Lack of interest/support from the board level |  | 1 | 3 | 10 | 4 |
| The mistaken view that we are well funded/do not need funds |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 11 |
| No deductible gift recipient (DGR) status | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |
| Main changes needed to be more successful in raising private sector support \% of organisations suggested (unprompted) | Micro (<\$50k) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Small } \\ (\$ 50 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{\$} 250 \mathrm{k}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Medium } \\ \text { (\$250k-<\$1m) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Large } \\ \text { (\$1m - <\$5m) } \end{array}$ | Extra Large (\$5m+) |
| Higher profile organisation/marketing/branding | 19 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 49 |
| More staff/volunteers with experience/skills | 21 | 25 | 25 | 24 | 25 |
| Dedicated fundraising staff/volunteers | 14 | 25 | 31 | 37 | 33 |
| Knowledge/expertise on who to approach for funding | 15 | 9 | 14 | 8 | 8 |
| More support from the board level | 2 | 7 | 13 | 24 | 22 |
| More time | 2 | 7 | 9 | 7 | 4 |
| Better relationships with donors | 1 | 6 | 7 | 13 | 13 |
| Knowledge/expertise on how to seek funding | 2 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 8 |
| Other | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 4 |
| Don't know | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| Nothing/no problems | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  |



| Organisation has the resources <br> to improve fundraising \% | ACT | NSW | NT | QLD | SA | TAS | VIC | WA |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 20 | 19 | 21 | 12 | 26 | 8 | 21 | 24 |
| No | 50 | 52 | 47 | 67 | 47 | 54 | 50 | 58 |
| Don't know/no answer | 30 | 29 | 33 | 21 | 27 | 38 | 29 | 18 |


| Organisation has the resources <br> to improve fundraising \% |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 18 | 17 | 21 |
| No | 56 | 58 | 49 |
| Don't know/no metro | Outer metro | Non metro |  |
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